1 2 3 4	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625) GEORGE RIOS, Assistant City Attorney (#07 DAISY M. NISHIGAYA, Deputy City Attorney Office of the City Attorney 200 E. Santa Clara Street San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-1900	7908) (#186614)
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CITY OF SAN JOSE and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7 8 9 10	DENIS F. SHANAGHER (#100222) LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP 121 Spear Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 356-4626	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff GINDIN-R&B COMPANY	
12 13 14 15	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA  CITY OF SAN JOSE; PEOPLE OF THE  NO. 1-96-CV 759667	
16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; GINDIN-R&B COMPANY  Plaintiff,  vs.  MARTIN & ROSANNA ALVAREZ, et al.  Defendants.	DECLARATION OF SILVINA GONZALEZ IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY THE PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION FILED ON APRIL 11, 1997  DATE: January 29, 2010
22 23 24		TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT: 5 JUDGE: Hon. Mary Jo Levinger
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	I, Silvina Gonzalez, declare as follows	<b>:</b> :
28	11	<u> </u>

- 1. My husband and I as trustees for our Family Trust are the current owners of 1367 Tami Lee Drive and 1620 Crucero Drive in San Jose, CA 95112. We have owned 1367 Tami Lee Drive since on or about December 9, 1997, although we put the property into our Family Trust thereafter. We have owned 1620 Crucero Drive since on or about October 16, 1997, although we put the property into our Family Trust thereafter.
- 2. Our property 1367 Tami Lee Drive is under the Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation filed in September 1996, (hereinafter "1997 Permanent Injunction"). My husband and I are Court-approved to self-manage this property.
- 3. Our property 1620 Crucero Drive is under the Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation filed on April 11, 1997, (hereinafter "1997 Permanent Injunction"). My husband and I are also Court-approved to self-manage this property.
- 4. The 1997 Permanent Injunction is for the purpose of the general improvement of the Santee neighborhood, and is not punitive in nature. (See 1997 Permanent Injunction, Ex. A to Request for Judicial Notice, at par. 38, p. 23.)
- 5. In my opinion, as a property owner under the Permanent Injunctions, I believe that they promote the general improvement of the Santee Neighborhood by requiring armed security guards, property management, and property owners to keep their properties in good repair. I believe that the Permanent Injunctions promote public health and safety and that they should continue in full force and effect. Further, I believe that they enhance the neighborhood, which in turn protects my investment in my properties.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. As to those mattes alleged on information and belief, I am informed and believe them to be true and correct. This declaration was executed on December 21, 2009, at San Jose, California.

Silvina Gonzalez